Messrs. Chickramanna and Girmaji Rao, Kolar taluk, C. Krishnamurti, Law Association, Bangalore, C. Srinivasa Rao, Chikmagalur taluk and H. Venkataramish, Y. Rama Rao and K. Nallappa, Tumkur municipality.

(*a*) by repealing Section 2 which requires the permission of the Government before starting a paper;

(*b*) by removing the punishment of deportation and substituting some milder punishment;

(*c*) by defining the various offences for which the editors and proprietors are liable to be punished;

(*d*) providing for a judicial trial by the Full Bench of the Chief Court.

As the law stands, editors are under unnecessary restraint in respect of criticisms of matters of public interest in which the Government and its officers are concerned. The uncertainty of the acts and offences for which the editors may be regarded as liable is also a serious drawback.

Mr. C. Krishna Murti suggested that before proceeding under Section 3 of the Regulation, notice might be given to the editor.

Mr. Santanam Iyengar represented that a period of probation should be given before permission is given or withdrawn.

Mr. M. K. Krishnamurti Rao was for a total repeal of the Regulation.

Reply of Government.—Under consideration.

SUBJECT No. 3.

A Regulation for compulsory primary education.

Mr. Nanjundappa, Chitaldrug taluk.

Summary of discussion.—A Regulation may be passed at an early date for making priniary education compulsory throughout the State, as the people in rural parts are not availing themselves of the advantages of education even though schools are located in their villages.

Reply of Government.—The Elementary Education Regulation has been passed into law.

SUBJECT No. 4.

Village Panchayets.

(1) Messrs. K. Subbanna, Bangalore taluk, Danasetty and Chandrasekharaiya, Dodballapur taluk, C. B. Siddegowda, Channapataa taluk, Muninagegowda and S. Hanumántha Row, Devanhalli taluk, Dorasamiengar, Chamrajnagar taluk, Gangappa, Nagamangala taluk, H. Krishnasastry, Sri Yadugiri Sadananda Vardhini Sabha, Melkote, Lingegowda, Mysore taluk, Hiranyappa, Yedatore taluk, Hutchveeregowda, Gubbi taluk, and Anantharajayya, Tumkur District Board.
(2) Mr. Surasastry, Chikballapur taluk.

Summary of discussion.—(1) The introduction of Village Panchayet System may be expedited. This will relieve people from the trouble and cost of resorting to Civil Courts.

(2) Village Panchayets may be established and petty cases within Rs. 20 may be disposed of by it.

Reply of Government.—The Village Courts Regulation has been passed into law.

SUBJECT No. 5.

Village *Panchayet* Courts.

Mr K. Ramanuja Iyengar, Gubbi taluk.

Withdrawn.